arXiv:1411.1049v2 [quant-ph] 17 Jan 2015

Spin 1 particle in the magnetic monopole potential: nonrelativistic approximation. Minkowski and Lobachevsky spaces

January 20, 2015

O.V. Veko[1], K.V. Kazmerchuk[2], E.M. Ovsiyuk[3], V.V. Kisel[4], A.M. Ishkhanyan[5], V.M. Red'kov[6]

Abstract

The spin 1 particle is treated in the presence of the Dirac magnetic monopole in the Minkowski and Lobachevsky spaces. Separating the variables in the frame of the matrix 10-component Duffin-Kemer-Petiau approach (wave equation) and making a nonrelativistic approximation in the corresponding radial equations, a system of three coupled second order linear differential equations is derived for each type of geometry.

For the Minkowski space, the nonrelativistic equations are disconnected using a linear transformation, which makes the mixing matrix diagonal. The resultant three unconnected equations involve three routs of a cubic algebraic equation as parameters. The approach allows extension to the case of additional external spherically symmetric fields. The Coulomb and oscillator potentials are considered and for each of these cases three series of energy spectra are derived. A special attention is given to the states with minimum value of the total angular momentum.

In the case of the curved background of the Lobachevsky geometry, the mentioned linear transformation does not disconnect the nonrelativistic equations in the presence of the monopole. Nevertheless, we derive the solution of the problem in the case of minimum total angular momentum. In this case, we additionally involve a Coulomb or oscillator field. Finally, considering the case without the monopole field, we show that for both Coulomb and oscillator potentials the problem is reduced to a system of three differential equations involving a hypergeometric and two general Heun equations. Imposing on the parameters of the latter equations a specific requirement, reasonable from the physical standpoint, we derive the corresponding energy spectra.

PACS numbers: 02.30.Gp, 02.40.Ky, 03.65Ge, 04.62.+v
MSC 2010: 33E30, 34B30

Keywords: magnetic monopole, Duffin-Kemmer-Petiau equation, nonrelativistic approximation, Coulomb field, oscillator potential, exact solutions, Heun functions

1 Introduction

The spin has a significant influence on the behavior of the quantum-mechanical particles in the field of the Dirac monopole. To the present time, however, mainly the particles of spin 0 and 1/2 have

[1]Kalinkovichi Gymnasium, Belarus,vekoolga@mail.ru
[2]Mosyr State Pedagogical University, Belarus, kristinash2@mail.ru
[3]Mosyr State Pedagogical University, Belarus, ce.ovsiyuk@mail.ru
[4]Belarusian State University of Informatics and Radioelectronics
[5] Institute for Physical Research, Armenian Academy of Sciences, aishkhanyan@gmail.com
[6]B.I. Stepanov Institute of Physics, NAS of Belarus, redkov@dragon.bas-net.by

gained sufficient attention [1-54]. It should be noted that the case of spin 1/2 Dirac particle reveals very peculiar solutions, which can be associated with the bound states of the particle in the external magnetic monopole field. The existence of similar states for spin 1 particle has been demonstrated in [36, 37]. However, other solutions for spin 1 case have not been constructed.

In the present paper we consider the theory of a spin 1 particle in the presence of the Abelian monopole background. Our treatment is based on the known matrix Duffin-Kemmer-Petiau formalism extended to the tetrad formalism [54, 55, 56, 57]. This approach gives a possibility to achieve a unification with the treatments of the cases of scalar and spin 1/2 particles by means of employing the conventional technique of the Wigner D-functions [61] (see also [40, 43, 47]). Besides, the approach allows one to extend the results straightforwardly to the curved space-time models, in particular, to the case of the Lobachevsky geometry.

We start from the relativistic wave equation for spin 1 particle and arrive at a radial system of equations for 10 functions, which, however, is too complicated for analytical treatment. It then turns out that a progress is achieved in the non-relativistic approximation. Notably, in this limit it is possible to include also the Coulomb or oscillator potentials.

The main lines of the present treatment are the following. The spin 1 particle is considered in the presence of the Dirac magnetic monopole. The separation of the variables is done using the matrix 10-component Duffin-Kemer-Petiau wave equation. For the Minkowski space, the nonrelativistic approximation applied in the radial equations reduces the problem to a system of three second order coupled differential equations, associated with spin 1 particle in the Pauli description. These equations are further disconnected by means of a linear transformation which makes the mixing matrix diagonal. The resultant three unconnected differential equations contain the routs A_k, $k = 1, 2, 3$ of a cubic algebraic equation as parameters. The approach permits extension to the case of additional external spherically symmetrical fields. We consider the Coulomb and oscillator potentials. For both cases, we derive three series of energy spectra, $\epsilon = \epsilon\,(A_k,\, j,\, n)$, giving a special attention to the states with minimum value of the total angular momentum j.

Further, we extend the analysis to the case of the curved background of the Lobachevsky geometry. Separating the variables in the Duffin-Kemmer-Petiau matrix 10-component equation, the problem is again reduced to a system of ten radial equations, however, too complicated for analytic treatment. This time also, a progress is achieved in the Pauli approximation. Passing to this non-relativistic description, we again derive three coupled second order differential equations. However, in contrary to the case of the Minkowski space, this time these equations cannot be disconnected in the presence of the monopole. Nevertheless, we were able to solve the problem in the case of minimum total angular momentum. In this case, it is also possible to take into account the Coulomb or oscillator fields. For both potentials the solution is written in terms of the hypergeometric functions.

It should be noted that even in the absence of a monopole and even in the nonrelativistic approximation, the equations for a spin 1 particle in the Lobachevsky space present a rather complicated mathematical object. We show that in the presence of a Coulomb or oscillatory potential these equations reduce to a system of three equations involving a hypergeometric and two general Heun equations. The Heun equation is a direct generalization of the hypergeometric differential equation to the case of four regular singular points [58, 59, 60]. The theory of this equation at present time is far from being complete, and there are no known simple methods for analytical description of the corresponding spectrum in the general case. Still, with the use of a special but reasonable from physical standpoint requirement, the energy spectrum of the system can be derived in the considered particular cases.

The obtained spectra for a nonrelativistic spin 1 particle in the Lobachebsky geometry background are similar to those encountered in the theory of Scrödinger scalar particle in this background (see the details and relevant references in [57]). Namely, the result reads

for the Coulomb field:

$$\epsilon = -mc^2 \frac{\alpha^2}{2N^2} - \frac{\hbar^2}{mR^2}\frac{N^2}{2} \qquad \left(\alpha = \frac{e^2}{\hbar c} = \frac{1}{137}\right) , \tag{1.1}$$

for the oscillator potential :

$$\epsilon = \hbar \left(N \sqrt{\frac{k}{m} + \frac{\hbar^2}{4m^2R^4}} - \frac{\hbar}{2mR^2}\left(N^2 + \frac{1}{4}\right)\right), \tag{1.2}$$

where R is the curvature radius of the Lobachevsky space, and $N = n + j + 1$. The situation is different for the Minkowski geometry.

2 Minkowski space. Separation of the variables in the Duffin-Kemer-Petiau equation

We treat the spin 1 particle in the monopole potential on the basis of the matrix approach in the frame of the tetrad formalism. For the Minkowski space, in notations of [55], using the spherical tetrad (see [56]), the Duffin-Kemer-Petiau (DKP) equation reads

$$\left[i\,\beta^0 \partial_t \;+\; i\left(\beta^3\,\partial_r \;+ \frac{1}{r}\,(\beta^1\,j^{31} + \beta^2\,j^{32})\right) + \frac{1}{r}\Sigma^k_{\theta,\phi} \;-\; M\right]\Phi(x) = 0\,, \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$\Sigma^k_{\theta,\phi} \;=\; i\,\beta^1\,\partial_\theta \;+\; \beta^2\,\frac{i\,\partial_\phi \;+\; (i\,j^{12} - k)\cos\theta}{\sin\theta}\,. \tag{2.2}$$

The parameter $k = eg/\hbar c$ is quantized according to the Dirac rule $\mid k \mid = 1/2,\ 1,\ 3/2,\ 2,\ ...$ [1, 2]. It is convenient to use the cyclic representation for DKP-matrices, for which the third projection of the spin iJ^{12} has a diagonal structure [56, 61]:

$$iJ^{12} = \left|\begin{array}{cccc} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & t_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & t_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & t_3 \end{array}\right| , \qquad t_3 = \left|\begin{array}{ccc} +1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{array}\right| . \tag{2.3}$$

The components of the total angular momentum are given in the spherical tetrad by the formulas [56]:

$$J^k_1 = l_1 + \frac{\cos\phi}{\sin\theta}\,(iJ^{12} - \kappa)\,, \quad J^k_2 = \,l_2 + \frac{\sin\phi}{\sin\theta}\,(iJ^{12} - \kappa)\,, \quad J^k_3 = l_3\,. \tag{2.4}$$

In accordance with the general method [56], the wave functions of the spin 1 particle with quantum numbers $(\epsilon,\ j,\ m)$ are constructed using the substitution

$$\begin{array}{c} \Phi_{\epsilon jm}(x) = e^{-i\epsilon t}\,[\ f_1(r)\ D_k,\ f_2(r)\ D_{k-1},\ f_3(r)\ D_\kappa,\ f_4(r)\ D_{k+1}, \\ f_5(r)\ D_{k-1},\ f_6(r)\ D_k,\ f_7(r)\ D_{k+1},\ f_8(r)\ D_{k-1},\ f_9(r)\ D_k,\ f_{10}(r)\ D_{k+1}\ ]\,, \end{array} \tag{2.5}$$

where the symbol D_σ stands for the Wigner functions $D^j_{-m,\sigma}(\phi,\theta,0)$ [61]. Below, when deriving the equations for the ten radial functions $f_1,\ldots,f_{10}$, we use the following recurrence relations [61]:

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_\theta\, D_{k-1} &= a\, D_{\kappa-2} - c\, D_k\,, \qquad \frac{-m-(k-1)\cos\theta}{\sin\theta}\, D_{k-1} = -a\, D_{k-2} - c\, D_k\,,\\
\partial_\theta\, D_k &= (c\, D_{\kappa-1} - d\, D_{k+1})\,, \qquad \frac{-m-k\cos\theta}{\sin\theta}\, D_k = -c\, D_{\kappa-1} - d\, D_{k+1}\,,\\
\partial_\theta\, D_{k+1} &= (d\, D_k - b\, D_{k+2})\,, \qquad \frac{-m-(k+1)\cos\theta}{\sin\theta}\, D_{k+1} = -d\, D_k - b\, D_{k+2}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
a &= \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(j+k-1)(j-k+2)}\,, \qquad b = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(j-k-1)(j+k+2)}\,,\\
c &= \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(j+k)(j-k+1)}\,, \qquad d = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(j-k)(j+k+1)}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Eq. (2.1) leads to the following system of radial equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
-i\left(\frac{d}{dr}+\frac{1}{r}\right) f_2 - i\frac{\sqrt{2}c}{r}\, f_3 - M\, f_8 &= 0\,,\\
i\left(\frac{d}{dr}+\frac{1}{r}\right) f_4 + \frac{i\sqrt{2}d}{r}\, f_3 - M\, f_{10} &= 0\,,\\
i\epsilon\, f_5 + i\left(\frac{d}{dr}+\frac{1}{r}\right) f_8 + i\frac{\sqrt{2}c}{r}\, f_9 - M\, f_2 &= 0\,,\\
i\epsilon\, f_7 - i\left(\frac{d}{dr}+\frac{1}{r}\right) f_{10} - i\frac{\sqrt{2}d}{r}\, f_9 - M\, f_4 &= 0\,,\\
-i\epsilon\, f_2 + \frac{\sqrt{2}c}{r}\, f_1 - M\, f_5 = 0\,, \quad -i\epsilon\, f_4 + \frac{\sqrt{2}d}{r}\, f_1 - M\, f_7 &= 0\,,\\
-\left(\frac{d}{dr}+\frac{2}{r}\right) f_6 - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{r}\,(c\, f_5 + d\, f_7) - M\, f_1 &= 0\,,\\
i\epsilon\, f_6 + \frac{\sqrt{2}i}{r}\,(-c\, f_8 + d\, f_{10}) - M\, f_3 &= 0\,,\\
i\frac{\sqrt{2}}{r}(c\, f_2 - d\, f_4) - M\, f_9 = 0\,, \qquad -i\epsilon\, f_3 - \frac{d}{dr}\, f_1 - M\, f_6 &= 0\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

The Pauli criterium [62] (see also in [56]) allows j to adopt the values

$$\begin{aligned}
k = \pm 1/2\,, \qquad\qquad & j = \mid k \mid, \mid k \mid +1,\ ...\\
k = \pm 1,\, \pm 3/2,\ ... \qquad & j = \mid k \mid -1,\ \mid k \mid,\ \mid k \mid +1,\ ...
\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

We have separated here the values $k = \pm 1/2$ since these need a different discussion, see below. Note also that the states with $j = \mid k \mid$ and $j = \mid k \mid -1$ should be treated with special caution, because the system (2.8) is meaningless in these cases.

Consider the states with $j = \mid k \mid -1$. For $j = 0$ (that is if $k = \pm 1$), the corresponding wave function does not depend on the angular variables θ, ϕ. If $j = 0$ and $k = +1$, the initial substitution is

$$\Phi^{(0)}(t,r) = e^{-i\epsilon t}\,(\,0,\quad f_2,\ \ 0,\ \ 0,\ \ f_5,\ \ 0,\ 0;\ \ f_8,\ \ 0,\ \ 0\,)\,. \tag{2.10}$$

It is readily checked that the angular operator $\Sigma_{\theta,\phi}$ acts on $\Phi^{(0)}$ as a zero operator: $\Sigma_{\theta,\phi}\Phi^{(0)} = 0$. This results in only three nontrivial radial equations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
i\,\epsilon\, f_5 \,+\, i\,\left(\frac{d}{dr}\,+\,\frac{1}{r}\right)\, f_8 - M\, f_2 \,&= 0\,, \\
-\,i\,\epsilon f_2 \,-\, M\, f_5 \,= 0\,, \quad -\,i\,\left(\frac{d}{dr}\,+\,\frac{1}{r}\right)\, f_2 - M\, f_8 \,&= 0\,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.11}
$$

from which we get

$$
f_5 = -i\frac{\epsilon}{M} f_2\,, \quad f_8 = -\frac{i}{M}\left(\frac{d}{dr}+\frac{1}{r}\right) f_2\,, \quad \left(\frac{d^2}{dr^2}+\epsilon^2-M^2\right) F_2 = 0\,, \quad f_2(r) = \frac{1}{r}F_2(r)\,. \tag{2.12}
$$

The equation for F_2 is exactly the same as the one that appears in the case of the electron in the same situation [56].

The case $j = 0$, $k = -1$ is treated in the similar way. The proper initial substitution this time is

$$
\Phi^{(0)}(t,r) \,=\, e^{-i\epsilon t}\,(\,0,\;\,0,\;\,0,\;\,f_4\,,\;\,0,\;\,0,\;\,f_7,\;\,0,\;\,0,\;\,f_{10}\,), \tag{2.13}
$$

and the corresponding radial equations read

$$
\begin{aligned}
i\,\epsilon\, f_7 \,-i\,\left(\frac{d}{dr}\,+\,\frac{1}{r}\right)\, f_{10} \,-\, M\, f_4 = 0\,, \\
-\,i\, f_4 \,-\, M\, f_7 = 0\,, \qquad i\,\left(\frac{d}{dr}\,+\,\frac{1}{r}\right)\, f_4 \,- M\, f_{10} = 0\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.14}
$$

As a result, we derive

$$
f_7 = -i\frac{\epsilon}{M} f_4\,, \quad f_{10} = \frac{i}{M}\left(\frac{d}{dr}+\frac{1}{r}\right) f_2\,, \quad \left(\frac{d^2}{dr^2}+\epsilon^2-M^2\right) F_4 = 0\,, \quad f_4 = \frac{1}{r}F_4\ . \tag{2.15}
$$

Now, consider the case of minimum values of $j = \mid k \mid -1$ with $k = \pm 3/2,\ \pm 2,\ \ldots$. First, we assume that k is positive. In this case we should start with the substitution

$$
k \geq 3/2, \qquad \Phi^{(0)} = e^{-i\epsilon t}\,(\,0,\ f_2\,D_{k-1},\ 0,\ 0; f_5\,D_{k-1},\ 0,\ 0;\ f_8\,D_{k-1},\ 0,\ 0\,)\,. \tag{2.16}
$$

Using the recurrence relations [61]

$$
\partial_\theta\, D_{\kappa-1} = \sqrt{\frac{k-1}{2}}\, D_{k-2}\,, \qquad \frac{-m-(k-1)\cos\theta}{\sin\theta}\, D_{k-1} = -\sqrt{\frac{k-1}{2}}\, D_{k-2}\,, \tag{2.17}
$$

we derive $\Sigma_{\theta,\phi}\Phi^{(0)} = 0$. Therefore, the system of the radial equations for the functions $f_2,\ f_5,\ f_8$ coincides with Eqs. (2.11). The case $j = \mid k \mid -1$ with negative k is considered in the similar way:

$$
k \leq -3/2, \qquad \Phi^{(0)} = e^{-i\epsilon t}\,(\,0,\ 0,\ 0,\ \ f_4\,D_{k+1},\ \ 0,\ 0,\ \ f_7\,D_{k+1},\ 0,\ 0,\ \ f_{10}\,D_{k+1}\ \,)\,. \tag{2.18}
$$

Here again holds the identity $\Sigma_{\theta,\phi}\Phi^{(0)} = 0$, so the radial system coincides with Eqs. (2.14).

Thus, for all states with minimum values of j, $j = \mid k \mid -1$, we derive simple sets of radial equations, which have straightforward analytical solutions of exponential form. However, this is not the case for the states with greater j. In the latter case we have a complicated system of ten radial equations, the general solution of which is not known. However, as shown in the next section, the system is exactly solved in the nonrelativistic approximation.

3 The Pauli approximation

We employ the method of deriving non-relativistic equations used in [56]. First, we eliminate from Eqs. (2.8) the non-dynamical variables f_1 and $f_{8,9,10}$. The resultant six equations in the more symmetric notations $f_{2,3,4} \to \Phi_{1,2,3}$ and $f_{5,6,7} \to E_{1,2,3}$ read

$$
\begin{aligned}
i\epsilon M E_1 + i\left(\frac{d}{dr}+\frac{1}{r}\right)\left[-i\left(\frac{d}{dr}+\frac{1}{r}\right)\Phi_1 - i\frac{\sqrt{2}c}{r}\Phi_2\right] + i\frac{\sqrt{2}c}{r}\left[i\frac{\sqrt{2}}{r}(c\Phi_1 - d\Phi_3)\right] - M^2\Phi_1 = 0\,,\\
i\epsilon M E_2 + \frac{\sqrt{2}i}{r}\left[-c\left(-i\left(\frac{d}{dr}+\frac{1}{r}\right)\Phi_1 - i\frac{\sqrt{2}c}{r}\Phi_2\right) + d\,\left(i\left(\frac{d}{dr}+\frac{1}{r}\right)\Phi_3 + \frac{i\sqrt{2}d}{r}\Phi_2\right)\right] - M^2\Phi_2 = 0\,,\\
i\epsilon M E_3 - i\left(\frac{d}{dr}+\frac{1}{r}\right)\left[i\left(\frac{d}{dr}+\frac{1}{r}\right)\Phi_3 + \frac{i\sqrt{2}d}{r}\Phi_2\right] - i\frac{\sqrt{2}d}{r}\left[i\frac{\sqrt{2}}{r}(c\Phi_1 - d\Phi_3)\right] - M^2\Phi_3 = 0\,,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
-i\epsilon M\Phi_1 + \frac{\sqrt{2}c}{r}\left[-\left(\frac{d}{dr}+\frac{2}{r}\right)E_2 - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{r}(c\,E_1 + dE_3)\right] - M^2 E_1 = 0\,,\\
-i\epsilon M\Phi_2 - \frac{d}{dr}\left[-\left(\frac{d}{dr}+\frac{2}{r}\right)E_2 - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{r}(cE_1 + d\,E_3)\right] - M^2 E_2 = 0\,,\\
-i\epsilon M\Phi_3 + \frac{\sqrt{2}d}{r}\left[-\left(\frac{d}{dr}+\frac{2}{r}\right)E_2 - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{r}(cE_1 + dE_3)\right] - M^2 E_3 = 0\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.1}
$$

Separating the rest energy with the help of the formal change $\epsilon = M + E$ and further regrouping the equations in appropriate pairs, we get the following three systems

$$
\begin{aligned}
iM^2 E_1 + iEME_1 + \left(\frac{d^2}{dr^2}+\frac{2}{r}\frac{d}{dr}\right)\Phi_1 + \frac{\sqrt{2}c}{r}\frac{d}{dr}\Phi_2 - \frac{2c}{r^2}(c\Phi_1 - d\,\Phi_3) - M^2\,\Phi_1 = 0,\\
-iM^2\Phi_1 - iEM\Phi_1 - \frac{\sqrt{2}c}{r}\left(\frac{d}{dr}+\frac{2}{r}\right)E_2 - \frac{2c}{r^2}(cE_1 + dE_3) - M^2 E_1 = 0\,,
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.2}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
iM^2 E_2 + iEME_2 - \frac{\sqrt{2}c}{r}\left(\frac{d}{dr}+\frac{1}{r}\right)\Phi_1 - \frac{2c^2}{r^2}\Phi_2 - \frac{\sqrt{2}d}{r}\left(\frac{d}{dr}+\frac{1}{r}\right)\,\Phi_3 - \frac{2d^2}{r^2}\Phi_2 - M^2\Phi_2 = 0,\\
-iM^2\Phi_2 - iEM\Phi_2 + \frac{d}{dr}\left(\frac{d}{dr}+\frac{2}{r}\right)\,E_2 + \frac{d}{dr}\frac{\sqrt{2}}{r}(cE_1 + dE_3) - M^2 E_2 = 0,
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.3}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
iM^2 E_3 + iEME_3 + \left(\frac{d^2}{dr^2}+\frac{2}{r}\frac{d}{dr}\right)\Phi_3 + \frac{\sqrt{2}d}{r}\frac{d}{dr}\Phi_2 + \frac{2d}{r^2}(c\Phi_1 - d\,\Phi_3) - M^2\Phi_3 = 0\,,\\
-iM^2\Phi_3 - iEM\Phi_3 - \frac{\sqrt{2}d}{r}\left(\frac{d}{dr}+\frac{2}{r}\right)E_2 - \frac{2d}{r^2}(cE_1 + dE_3) - M^2 E_3 = 0\,.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.4}
$$

Introducing the big Ψ_j and small ψ_j constituents via the linear relations

$$
\Psi_j = \Phi_j + iE_j, \qquad \psi_j = \Phi_j - iE_j\,,
\tag{3.5}
$$

one recovers from these systems the three equations of the Pauli approximation [56]. Indeed, e.g., rewriting the first pair (3.2) in terms of the big and small components and subtracting the second equation from the first one, we obtain the following equation involving only the big components:

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dr^2}+\frac{2}{r}\frac{d}{dr}+2EM\right)\Psi_1-\frac{2\sqrt{2}c}{r^2}\Psi_2-\frac{4c^2}{r^2}\Psi_1=0\,, \tag{3.6}$$

which represents one of the equations in the nonrelativistic approximation. The second and the third equations of this approximation, derived in the same manner from systems (3.3) and (3.4), respectively, read

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dr^2}+\frac{2}{r}\frac{d}{dr}+2EM\right)\Psi_2-\frac{2(c^2+d^2+1)}{r^2}\Psi_2-\frac{2\sqrt{2}c}{r^2}\Psi_1-\frac{2\sqrt{2}d}{r^2}\Psi_3=0\,. \tag{3.7}$$

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dr^2}+\frac{2}{r}\frac{d}{dr}+2EM\right)\Psi_3-\frac{2\sqrt{2}d}{r^2}\Psi_2-\frac{4d^2}{r^2}\Psi_3=0\,. \tag{3.8}$$

To make a non-relativistic approximation in the case of minimum j, one eliminates the nondynamical component f_8 from the system (2.11) and changes to the big and small components Ψ_j and ψ_j to obtain, instead of (3.6), a simpler equation:

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dr^2}+\frac{2}{r}\frac{d}{dr}+2EM\right)\Psi_1=0\,, \tag{3.9}$$

from which we derive the nonrelativistic solution

$$\Psi_1=\frac{1}{r}f_2(r),\quad f_2=e^{\pm\sqrt{-2ME}r}. \tag{3.10}$$

Thus, the specific relativistic solution that could be associated with a bound state at $0<\epsilon<M$ (we recall that $\epsilon=M+E$), in the nonrelativistic approximation reads

$$\Psi_1=\frac{e^{-\sqrt{-2EM}\,r}}{r}\,, \tag{3.11}$$

which is associated with a bound state as well.

4 Solutions of the radial Pauli equations in the general case

To examine the radial system of the Pauli approximation in the general case of non-minimum angular momentum, it is convenient to introduce the notation

$$\bar{\Delta}=\frac{1}{2}r^2\left(\frac{d^2}{dr^2}+\frac{2}{r}\frac{d}{dr}+2EM\right), \tag{4.1}$$

so that the system (3.6)-(3.8) is written in the matrix form as

$$\bar{\Delta}\;\Psi=\bar{A}\Psi\,,\qquad \bar{A}=\begin{vmatrix} 2c^2 & \sqrt{2}c & 0\\ \sqrt{2}c & (c^2+d^2+1) & \sqrt{2}d\\ 0 & \sqrt{2}d & 2d^2\end{vmatrix},\qquad \Psi=\begin{vmatrix}\Psi_1\\ \Psi_2\\ \Psi_3\end{vmatrix}. \tag{4.2}$$

We recall that the nonrelativistic wave function is defined by the relations

$$\Phi_{\epsilon jm}(x) = e^{-i\epsilon t} \begin{vmatrix} \Psi_1(r)\, D_{k-1} \\ \Psi_2(r)\, D_\kappa \\ \Psi_3(r)\, D_{k+1} \end{vmatrix} = e^{-i\epsilon t} \begin{vmatrix} (\Phi_1 + iE_1)\, D_{k-1} \\ (\Phi_2 + iE_2)\, D_\kappa \\ (\Phi_3 + iE_3)\, D_{k+1} \end{vmatrix} . \tag{4.3}$$

To solve the system (4.2), we diagonalize the matrix $\bar{A}$. The equation for determining the corresponding transformation matrix S and the diagonal elements A_1, A_2, A_3 reads

$$\begin{vmatrix} 2c^2 & \sqrt{2}c & 0 \\ \sqrt{2}c & (c^2+d^2+1) & \sqrt{2}d \\ 0 & \sqrt{2}d & 2d^2 \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} s_{11} & s_{12} & s_{13} \\ s_{21} & s_{22} & s_{23} \\ s_{31} & s_{32} & s_{33} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} s_{11} & s_{12} & s_{13} \\ s_{21} & s_{22} & s_{23} \\ s_{31} & s_{32} & s_{33} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} A_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_3 \end{vmatrix} \tag{4.4}$$

This equation can be divided into three groups of the same structure, each of which presents a set of three homogeneous linear equations:

$$\begin{vmatrix} (2c^2 - A_1) & \sqrt{2}c & 0 \\ \sqrt{2}c & (c^2+d^2+1-A_1) & \sqrt{2}d \\ 0 & \sqrt{2}d & (2d^2 - A_1) \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} s_{11} \\ s_{21} \\ s_{31} \end{vmatrix} = 0 , \tag{4.5}$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} (2c^2 - A_2) & \sqrt{2}c & 0 \\ \sqrt{2}c & (c^2+d^2+1-A_2) & \sqrt{2}d \\ 0 & \sqrt{2}d & (2d^2 - A_2) \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} s_{12} \\ s_{22} \\ s_{32} \end{vmatrix} = 0 , \tag{4.6}$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} (2c^2 - A_3) & \sqrt{2}c & 0 \\ \sqrt{2}c & (c^2+d^2+1-A_3) & \sqrt{2}d \\ 0 & \sqrt{2}d & (2d^2 - A_3) \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} s_{13} \\ s_{23} \\ s_{33} \end{vmatrix} = 0 . \tag{4.7}$$

To have non-trivial solutions, the determinants of the involved matrixes should vanish, hence, we conclude that the diagonal elements $A_{1,2,3}$ are the roots of the following cubic algebraic equation:

$$\det \begin{vmatrix} (2c^2 - A) & \sqrt{2}c & 0 \\ \sqrt{2}c & (c^2+d^2+1-A) & \sqrt{2}d \\ 0 & \sqrt{2}d & (2d^2 - A) \end{vmatrix} = 0 , \tag{4.8}$$

or, equivalently,

$$A^3 + rA^2 + sA + t = (A - A_1)(A - A_2)(A - A_3) = 0. \tag{4.9}$$

Eliminating the A^2 term in this equation by means of the substitution $A = B - r/3$, we get a reduced cubic equation:

$$B^3 + pB + q = 0 , \quad p = \frac{3s - r^2}{3} , \quad q = \frac{2r^3}{27} - \frac{rs}{3} + t . \tag{4.10}$$

As it is known, the properties of the roots of a cubic equation depend on the sign of its discriminant [63]. For a reduced cubic equation the discriminant is defined as

$$D = \left(\frac{p}{3}\right)^3 + \left(\frac{q}{2}\right)^2 , \tag{4.11}$$

and the negative sign of D, $D < 0$, warrants that all three roots are real. After some simplifications, we get that

$$p = -\left(j(j+1) - \frac{3}{4}k^2 + \frac{1}{3}\right) < 0 , \qquad q = -\left(\frac{1}{3}j(j+1) + \frac{2}{27}\right) < 0 . \tag{4.12}$$

Expanding now the expression (4.11) for the discriminant with this p and q, it is readily checked that always $D < 0$. Hence, the reduced cubic equation has only real roots. It is convenient to write the roots of this equation in the standard trigonometric form [63]:

$$B_i = 2\sqrt{-\frac{p}{3}}\cos\left(\frac{1}{3}\arccos\left(\frac{3}{2}\frac{q}{p}\sqrt{-\frac{3}{p}}\right) + (i-1)\frac{2\pi}{3}\right), \quad i = 1, 2, 3\ . \tag{4.13}$$

Thus, the roots $A_{1,2,3}$ are real. Since the free term t in (4.9) is negative, hence, $A_1 A_2 A_3 > 0$, for the signs of these roots only the following variants are possible:

$$\mathrm{sign}(A_1, A_2, A_3) = (+,+,+) \quad \text{or} \quad (-,-,+). \tag{4.14}$$

The numerical calculations for different j additionally reveal that the roots $A_{1,2,3}$ all are positive.

5 Explicit form of the three types of solutions

With A being $A_{1,2,3}$, the equations (4.5)-(4.7) become linearly dependent in each subset of three equations. Consequently, one may drop an equation in each of the systems. Let us omit the third equation and put $s_{11} = s_{22} = s_{33} = 1$. This results in the relations

$$s_{21} = -\frac{(2c^2 - A_1)}{\sqrt{2}c}\ , \quad s_{31} = \frac{d}{(2d^2 - A_1)}\frac{(2c^2 - A_1)}{c}\ , \tag{5.1}$$

$$s_{12} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}c}{(2c^2 - A_2)}\ , \quad s_{32} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}d}{(2d^2 - A_2)}\ , \tag{5.2}$$

$$s_{13} = \frac{c}{(2c^2 - A_3)}\frac{(2d^2 - A_3)}{d}\ , \quad s_{23} = -\frac{(2d^2 - A_3)}{\sqrt{2}d}\ . \tag{5.3}$$

Thus, the transformation

$$S\Psi' = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & s_{12} & s_{13} \\ s_{21} & 1 & s_{23} \\ s_{31} & s_{32} & 1 \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \Psi_1' \\ \Psi_2' \\ \Psi_3' \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} \Psi_1 \\ \Psi_2 \\ \Psi_3 \end{vmatrix} \tag{5.4}$$

reduces the radial system to the diagonal form:

$$\bar{\Delta} \begin{vmatrix} \Psi_1' \\ \Psi_2' \\ \Psi_3' \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} A_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A_3 \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \Psi_1' \\ \Psi_2' \\ \Psi_3' \end{vmatrix}. \tag{5.5}$$

Since the equations here are not connected, one can study three linearly independent solutions given as

$$\begin{vmatrix} \Psi_1' \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{vmatrix}, \qquad \begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ \Psi_2' \\ 0 \end{vmatrix}, \qquad \begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \Psi_3' \end{vmatrix}. \tag{5.6}$$

Thus, the problem is reduced to the second order differential equation

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{2}{r}\frac{d}{dr} + 2EM - \frac{L(L+1)}{r^2}\right) f(r) = 0\ , \tag{5.7}$$

where

$$L(L+1) = 2A = \{2A_1,\ 2A_2,\ 2A_3\} \quad \Rightarrow \quad L = -\frac{1}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + 2A}\ . \tag{5.8}$$

Note that the positiveness of the roots $A_{1,2,3}$ ensures the existence of positive values for parameter L (upper sign in (5.8)).

The above formalism is readily generalized to take into account the Coulomb potential. This is achieved by the change $E \to E + \alpha/r$ in the differential equation (5.7):

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{2}{r}\frac{d}{dr} + 2M\left(E + \frac{\alpha}{r}\right) - \frac{L(L+1)}{r^2}\right) f(r) = 0\ . \tag{5.9}$$

The structure of the singularities of this equation is the same as that for Eq. (5.7): it has a regular singularity at $r = 0$ and an irregular one of rank 1 at $r = \infty$. Hence, the standard substitution with a scaled independent variable [60]

$$f = z^L e^{-z/2} F(z), \qquad z = 2\sqrt{-2ME}\, r, \qquad -\frac{\alpha\sqrt{-2ME}}{E} = B > 0\ , \tag{5.10}$$

reduces this equation to the confluent hypergeometric equation [63]

$$\frac{d^2F}{dz^2} + \left(\frac{2(L+1)}{z} - 1\right)\frac{dF}{dz} - \frac{L+1-B/2}{z}F = 0\,, \tag{5.11}$$

the solution of which, restricted at the origin, is the Kummer confluent hypergeometric function: $F(z) = {}_1F_1(a;b;z)$ with $a = L + 1 - B/2$ and $b = 2(L+1)$. Applying now the condition for the Kummer function to turn into a polynomial, $a = -n,\ n = 0, 1, 2, ...$, we get the quantization rule for energy levels. In accordance with the three possible values for L, we get three series of energy levels:

$$E_i = -\frac{1}{2}\,\frac{\alpha^2\, M}{(n + L_i + 1)^2}, \qquad L_i = -\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + 2A_i}\ . \tag{5.12}$$

For the minimum value of j the corresponding result is (see (3.9):

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{2}{r}\frac{d}{dr} + 2M\left(E + \frac{\alpha}{r}\right)\right)\Psi_1 = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad E = -\frac{1}{2}\,\frac{\alpha^2\, M}{(n+1)^2}\ . \tag{5.13}$$

The case of the oscillator potential is treated in a similar way. This time, we have the differential equation

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{2}{r}\frac{d}{dr} + 2M\left(E - \frac{kr^2}{2}\right) - \frac{L(L+1)}{r^2}\right] f = 0\ . \tag{5.14}$$

Applying the substitution $f(x) = x^{L/2}e^{-x/2}\ F(x)$, with the new variable $x = \sqrt{Mk}\, r^2$, we again arrive at the confluent hypergeometric equation having the solution $F(z) = {}_1F_1(a;b;z)$ with the parameters

$$a = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{3}{2} + L - E\sqrt{\frac{M}{k}}\right), \qquad b = L + \frac{3}{2}\ . \tag{5.15}$$

The quantization rule $a = -n$ again leads to three series for the energy levels:

$$E_i = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{k}{M}}\ \left(\frac{3}{2} + L_i + 2n\right)\ , \qquad L_i = -\frac{1}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{4} + 2A_i}\ . \tag{5.16}$$

This time, for the minimum value of j we have

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{dr^2}+\frac{2}{r}\frac{d}{dr}+2M\left(E-\frac{kr^2}{2}\right)\right]\Psi_1=0\,,\quad E=\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{k}{M}}\,(\frac{3}{2}+2n). \tag{5.17}$$

More complicated equations arise if one considers other potentials. For instance, in the simultaneous presence of Coulomb, oscillator and linear potentials we have the equation

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{dr^2}+\frac{2}{r}\frac{d}{dr}-\frac{L(L+1)}{r^2}+\frac{2M}{\hbar^2}\left(E+\frac{\alpha}{r}-\beta r-kr^2\right)\right]R=0 \tag{5.18}$$

describing the quarkonium in particle physics, a flavorless meson whose constituents are a quark and its antiquark, that has been a subject of intensive discussions for already more than a half century (see, e.g., [64] and references therein; for a recent review, see, e.g., [65] and references therein). This equation is reduced to the biconfluent Heun equation [66], which is one of the natural polynomial generalizations of the confluent hypergeometric equation [59, 60].

6 Spin 1 particle in the Lobachevsky space

We now extend the above approach to the Lobachevsky geometry background. In spherical coordinates and tetrad

$$dS^2=c^2dt^2-dr^2-\mathrm{sh}^2r\,(d\theta^2+\mathrm{sh}^2\theta d\phi^2)\,, \tag{6.1}$$

$$e^{\alpha}_{(0)}=(1,0,0,0),\quad e^{\alpha}_{(1)}=\left(0,0,\frac{1}{\mathrm{sh}\,r},0\right),\quad e^{\alpha}_{(2)}=\left(1,0,0,\frac{1}{\mathrm{sh}\,r\sin\theta}\right),\quad e^{\alpha}_{(3)}=(0,1,0,0) \tag{6.2}$$

the Duffin-Kemer-Petiau equation reads (see the notations in [55, 56])

$$\hat{W}_0(x)\Phi^0(x)=\left[i\,\beta^0\partial_t\,+\,i\left(\beta^3\,\partial_r\,+\frac{1}{\tanh r}\,(\beta^1\,j^{31}+\beta^2\,j^{32})\right)+\frac{1}{\mathrm{sh}\,r}\Sigma^k_{\theta,\phi}\,-\,M\right]\Phi^0(x)=0\,. \tag{6.3}$$

Here the angular operator $\Sigma^k_{\theta,\phi}$ is defined as

$$\Sigma^k_{\theta,\phi}\;=\;i\,\beta^1\,\partial_\theta\;+\;\beta^2\,\frac{i\,\partial_\phi\;+\;(i\,j^{12}-k)\cos\theta}{\sin\theta}\,, \tag{6.4}$$

where β^a stand for (10×10)-matrices of Duffin-Kemer-Petiau equation, $j^{ab}=\beta^a\beta^b-\beta^b\beta^a$, and the parameter $k=eg/\hbar c$ is quantized according to the Dirac rule: $\mid k\mid=1/2,\,1,\,3/2,\,2,\,...$ (e and g are electric and magnetic charges, respectively) [1, 2].

The Duffin-Kemer-Petiau equation (6.3) suggests a difficult problem, the treatment of which is rather complicated even in the nonrelativistic approximation. However, it turns out that a complete analytical study can be successfully performed if one consideres a slightly modified equation:

$$\left[i\,\beta^0\partial_t\,+\,i\left(\beta^3\,\partial_r\,+\frac{1}{\sinh r}\,(\beta^1\,j^{31}+\beta^2\,j^{32})\right)+\frac{1}{\mathrm{sh}\,r}\Sigma^k_{\theta,\phi}\,-\,M\right]\Phi(x)=0\,. \tag{6.5}$$

The transition from (6.3) to (6.5) is achieved by introduction of an additional interaction term:

$$\left[\hat{W}_0(x)+\Delta(r)\right]\Phi(x)=0\,. \tag{6.6}$$

with

$$\Delta(r) = i\frac{1-\cosh r}{\sinh r}\ (\beta^1 J^{31} + \beta^2 J^{32})\ . \tag{6.7}$$

In the Cartesian tetrad gauge this operator is written as

$$\Delta^{Cart}(x) = \frac{1-\cosh r}{\sinh r}\ \left[\vec{n}\cdot(\vec{\beta}\times\vec{S})\right]\ . \tag{6.8}$$

7 Separation of the variables in the presence of a magnetic monopole

Consider the modified Duffin-Kemer-Petiau equation (6.5) in spherical coordinates and tetrad. The components of the total angular momentum in this basis are determined by the formulas

$$J_1^k = l_1 + \frac{\cos\phi}{\sin\theta}\ (iJ^{12} - \kappa)\ , \qquad J_2^k = \ l_2 + \frac{\sin\phi}{\sin\theta}\ (iJ^{12} - \kappa)\ , \qquad J_3^k = l_3\ . \tag{7.1}$$

The wave functions of a particle with quantum numbers $(\epsilon,\ j,\ m)$ are constructed using the substitution [56]

$$\Phi_{\epsilon jm}(x) = e^{-i\epsilon t}\ [\ f_1(r)\ D_k,\ f_2(r)\ D_{k-1},\ f_3(r)\ D_\kappa,\ f_4(r)\ D_{k+1},$$
$$f_5(r)\ D_{k-1},\ f_6(r)\ D_k,\ f_7(r)\ D_{k+1},\ f_8(r)\ D_{k-1},\ f_9(r)\ D_k,\ f_{10}(r)\ D_{k+1}\ ]\ , \tag{7.2}$$

where the symbol D_σ stands for the Wigner functions $D^j_{-m,\sigma}(\phi,\theta,0)$ [61]. The corresponding radial equations read (note that $c = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(j+k)(j-k+1)}$)

$$-\left(\frac{d}{dr} + \frac{2}{\mathrm{sh}\ r}\right)\ f_6 - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\mathrm{sh}\ r}\ (c\ f_5 + d\ f_7) - M\ f_1 = 0\ ,$$
$$i\epsilon\ f_5 + i\left(\frac{d}{dr} + \frac{1}{\mathrm{sh}\ r}\right)\ f_8 + i\frac{\sqrt{2}c}{\mathrm{sh}\ r}\ f_9 - M\ f_2 = 0\ ,$$
$$i\epsilon\ f_6 + \frac{\sqrt{2}i}{\mathrm{sh}\ r}\ (-c\ f_8 + d\ f_{10}) - M\ f_3 = 0\ ,$$
$$i\epsilon\ f_7 - i\left(\frac{d}{dr} + \frac{1}{\mathrm{sh}\ r}\right)\ f_{10} - i\frac{\sqrt{2}d}{\mathrm{sh}\ r}\ f_9 - M\ f_4 = 0\ ,$$

$$-i\epsilon\ f_2 + \frac{\sqrt{2}c}{\mathrm{sh}\ r}\ f_1 - M\ f_5 = 0\ , \quad -i\epsilon\ f_3 - \frac{d}{dr}\ f_1 - M\ f_6 = 0\ ,$$
$$-i\epsilon\ f_4 + \frac{\sqrt{2}d}{\mathrm{sh}\ r}\ f_1 - M\ f_7 = 0\ , \quad -i\left(\frac{d}{dr} + \frac{1}{\mathrm{sh}\ r}\right)\ f_2 - i\frac{\sqrt{2}c}{\mathrm{sh}\ r}\ f_3 - M\ f_8 = 0\ ,$$
$$i\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\mathrm{sh}\ r}(c\ f_2 - d\ f_4) - M\ f_9 = 0\ , \quad i\left(\frac{d}{dr} + \frac{1}{\mathrm{sh}\ r}\right)\ f_4 + \frac{i\sqrt{2}d}{\mathrm{sh}\ r}\ f_3 - M\ f_{10} = 0\ . \tag{7.3}$$

The quantum number j adopts the values

$$k = \pm 1/2\ , \qquad j = \mid k\mid\ , \mid k\mid +1,\ \ldots\ , \tag{7.4}$$

$$k = \pm 1,\ \pm 3/2,\ \ldots\ , \qquad j = \mid k\mid -1,\ \mid k\mid,\ \mid k\mid +1,\ \ldots\ . \tag{7.5}$$

The cases for which $j = \mid k \mid -1$ should be treated separately. For $k = +1$ and $j = 0$, the appropriate substitution is

$$\Phi^{(0)}(t,r) = e^{-i\epsilon t}\ (\ 0,\quad f_2,\ \ 0,\ \ 0,\ \ f_5,\ \ 0,\ 0;\ \ f_8,\ \ 0,\ \ 0\ )\ . \tag{7.6}$$

This leads to the following three radial equations:

$$f_5 = -\,i\,\frac{\epsilon}{M}\,f_2\ , \qquad f_8 = -\,\frac{i}{M}\,\left(\,\frac{d}{dr}\,+\,\frac{1}{\mathrm{sh}\ r}\right)\,f_2\ ,$$
$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dr^2}+\frac{2}{\mathrm{sh}\ r}\frac{d}{dr}+\frac{1-\mathrm{ch}\ r}{\mathrm{sh}^2 r}+\epsilon^2-M^2\right)f_2=0\ . \tag{7.7}$$

By a further substitution, we simplify the problem as follows:

$$f_2(r)=\frac{1+\mathrm{ch}\ r}{2\ \mathrm{sh}\ r}F_2(r)\ , \qquad \left(\,\frac{d^2}{dr^2}\,+\,\epsilon^2\,-\,M^2\,\right)\,F_2=0\ . \tag{7.8}$$

The last equation coincides with the one appearing in the flat space [56]. The case $j = 0$, $k = -1$ yields the same result.

8 Nonrelativistic approximation in the general case

As in the previous Minkowski case, to derive a non-relativistic approximation, we first exclude from the radial equations (7.3) the non-dynamical variables f_1, f_8, f_9, f_{10}. We then get a system, which, using the more symmetric notations

$$(f_2,f_3,f_4)\to(\Phi_1,\Phi_2,\Phi_3)\ , \qquad (f_5,f_6,f_7)\to(E_1,E_2,E_3)\ ,$$

is written as

$$i\epsilon M E_1+i\left(\frac{d}{dr}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{sh}\ r}\right)\left[-i\left(\frac{d}{dr}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{sh}\ r}\right)\Phi_1-i\frac{\sqrt{2}c}{\mathrm{sh}\ r}\Phi_2\right]+i\frac{\sqrt{2}c}{\mathrm{sh}\ r}\left[i\frac{\sqrt{2}}{r}(c\Phi_1-d\Phi_3)\right]-M^2\Phi_1=0,$$
$$i\epsilon M E_2+\frac{\sqrt{2}i}{\mathrm{sh}\ r}\left[-c\left(-i(\frac{d}{dr}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{sh}\ r})\Phi_1-i\frac{\sqrt{2}c}{\mathrm{sh}\ r}\Phi_2\right)+d\left(i(\frac{d}{dr}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{sh}\ r})\Phi_3+\frac{i\sqrt{2}d}{\mathrm{sh}\ r}\Phi_2\right)\right]-M^2\Phi_2=0,$$
$$i\epsilon M E_3-i\left(\frac{d}{dr}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{sh}\ r}\right)\left[i\left(\frac{d}{dr}+\frac{1}{\mathrm{sh}\ r}\right)\Phi_3+\frac{i\sqrt{2}d}{\mathrm{sh}\ r}\Phi_2\right]-i\frac{\sqrt{2}d}{\mathrm{sh}\ r}\left[i\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\mathrm{sh}\ r}(c\Phi_1-d\Phi_3)\right]-M^2\Phi_3=0,$$

$$-\,i\epsilon M\Phi_1+\frac{\sqrt{2}c}{\mathrm{sh}\ r}\left[-\left(\frac{d}{dr}+\frac{2}{\mathrm{sh}\ r}\right)E_2-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\mathrm{sh}\ r}(c\ E_1+dE_3)\right]-M^2E_1=0\ ,$$
$$-i\epsilon M\Phi_2-\frac{d}{dr}\left[-\left(\frac{d}{dr}+\frac{2}{\mathrm{sh}\ r}\right)E_2-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\mathrm{sh}\ r}(cE_1+d\ E_3)\right]-M^2E_2=0\ ,$$
$$-i\epsilon M\Phi_3+\frac{\sqrt{2}d}{\mathrm{sh}\ r}\left[-\left(\frac{d}{dr}+\frac{2}{\mathrm{sh}\ r}\right)E_2-\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\mathrm{sh}\ r}(cE_1+dE_3)\right]-M^2E_3=0\ . \tag{8.1}$$

The big Ψ_k and small ψ_k components are now introduced through the linear combinations [56])

$$\Phi_k=\frac{\Psi_k+\psi_k}{2}, \qquad iE_k=\frac{\Psi_k-\psi_k}{2}\ . \tag{8.2}$$

Performing essentially the same calculations as in the case of the Minkowski space, we arrive at the following nonrelativistic system for three big components (note that $\Psi_i = \frac{1+\mathrm{ch}\, r}{\mathrm{sn}\, r} F_i$):

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + 2EM - \frac{4c^2}{\mathrm{sh}^2\, r}\right) F_1 = \frac{1+\mathrm{ch}\, r}{\mathrm{sh}^2 r}\left(\qquad \sqrt{2}c\, F_2 \qquad \right),$$
$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + 2EM - \frac{2(c^2+d^2)}{\mathrm{sh}^2 r}\right) F_2 = \frac{(1+\mathrm{ch}\, r)}{\mathrm{sh}^2 r}\left(\sqrt{2}c\, F_1 + F_2 + \sqrt{2}d\, F_3\right),$$
$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + 2EM - \frac{4d^2}{\mathrm{sh}^2\, r}\right) F_3 = \frac{1+\mathrm{ch}\, r}{\mathrm{sh}^2 r}\left(\qquad \sqrt{2}d\, F_2 \qquad \right). \tag{8.3}$$

This system is still very difficult to solve; the method used in the casee of the flat space cannot be applied here. However, we are able to solve the problem in the case of minimum value of $j =\mid k \mid -1$ in the presence of additional Coulomb or oscillator potentials.

9 Minimum value of j: the Coulomb and oscillator potentials

Consider first the Coulomb potential. The corresponding equation for this case reads [57]

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dr^2} \; + \; \left(\epsilon + \frac{\alpha}{\mathrm{th}\, r}\right)^2 \; - \; M^2 \right) F_2 = 0\,. \tag{9.1}$$

Applying the substitution $F_2 = x^A(1-x)^B f(x)$, $x = 1 - e^{-2r}$, at positive

$$A = \frac{1+\sqrt{1-4\alpha^2}}{2}\,, \qquad B = +\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-(\epsilon+\alpha)^2 + M^2}\,, \tag{9.2}$$

we get the hypergeometric equation:

$$x(1-x)f'' + [2A - (2A+2B+1)x]\; f' - \left[(A+B)^2 + \left(\frac{\epsilon}{2} - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{M^2}{4}\right] f = 0. \tag{9.3}$$

Hence, $f(x) = {}_2F_1(\lambda, \beta; \gamma; x)$, and the quantization rule $\lambda = -n$ gives the energy spectrum

$$\epsilon = \frac{M}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^2/\nu^2}}\sqrt{1 - \frac{\alpha^2+\nu^2}{M^2}}, \qquad \nu = n + \frac{1+\sqrt{1-4\alpha^2}}{2}. \tag{9.4}$$

In usual units this reads

$$E = \frac{mc^2}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^2/\nu^2}}\sqrt{1 - \frac{\hbar^2}{m^2c^2R^2}(\alpha^2+\nu^2)}\,, \tag{9.5}$$

where R stands for a curvature radius of the Lobachevsky space. We note that the number of bound states is finite.

In the case of the oscillator potential the corresponding equation [57]:

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dr^2} \; + \; 2M(E - \frac{K\ \mathrm{th}^2 r}{2}) \right) \; F_2 = 0\,, \tag{9.6}$$

is again solved in terms of the hypergeometric functions. The resultant energy spectrum reads

$$E = N\sqrt{\frac{K}{M} + (\frac{1}{2M})^2} - \frac{1}{2M}(N^2 + \frac{1}{4})\,, \quad N = 2n + \frac{3}{2} \tag{9.7}$$

or, in usual units,

$$\epsilon = \hbar\left(N\,\sqrt{\frac{k}{m} + \frac{\hbar^2}{4m^2R^4}} - \frac{\hbar}{2mR^2}\left(N^2 + \frac{1}{4}\right)\right). \tag{9.8}$$

10 Spin 1 free particle in the absence of the monopole background

In the absence of the monopole, the identity $d = c = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{j(j+1)}$ holds, and Eqs. (8.3) become simpler:

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + 2EM\right) F_1 - \sqrt{2}c\frac{1+\mathrm{ch}\ r}{\mathrm{sh}^2 r} F_2 - \frac{4c^2}{\mathrm{sh}^2\ r} F_1 = 0\ ,$$
$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + 2EM\right) F_3 - \sqrt{2}c\frac{1+\mathrm{ch}\ r}{\mathrm{sh}^2 r} F_2 - \frac{4c^2}{\mathrm{sh}^2\ r} F_3 = 0\ ,$$
$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + 2EM\right) F_2 - \frac{4c^2}{\mathrm{sh}^2 r} F_2 - \frac{(1+\mathrm{ch}\ r)}{\mathrm{sh}^2 r} F_2 - \frac{\sqrt{2}c(1+\mathrm{ch}\ r)}{\mathrm{sh}^2 r} F_1 - \frac{\sqrt{2}c(1+\mathrm{ch}\ r)}{\mathrm{sh}^2 r} F_3 = 0\ . \quad (10.1)$$

Now, in contrary to the monopole case, one can additionally diagonalize the space reflection operator:

$$P = (-1)^{j+1},\ F_2 = 0,\ F_3 = -F_1\ ; \qquad P = (-1)^j,\ F_3 = +F_1\ . \quad (10.2)$$

Correspondingly, the system (10.1) is split into $1 + 2$ equations, namely,

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + 2EM - \frac{4c^2}{\mathrm{sh}^2\ r}\right) F_1 = 0 \quad (10.3)$$

and ($\nu = c\sqrt{2}$)

$$\bar{\Delta} \left| \begin{array}{c} F_1 \\ F_2 \end{array} \right| = \left| \begin{array}{cc} 0 & \nu \\ 2\nu & 1 \end{array} \right| \left| \begin{array}{c} F_1 \\ F_2 \end{array} \right|, \quad \bar{\Delta} = \frac{\mathrm{sh}^2 r}{1+\mathrm{ch}\ r}\left(\frac{d^2}{dr^2} - \frac{j(j+1)}{\mathrm{sh}^2\ r} + 2EM\right). \quad (10.4)$$

For the last system (10.4), one can diagonalize the mixing matrix:

$$F = SF'\ , \qquad \bar{\Delta}\ F' = S^{-1}A\ SF'\ , \qquad S = \left| \begin{array}{cc} s_{11} & s_{12} \\ s_{21} & s_{22} \end{array} \right|,\ \bar{\Delta}\ F' = \left| \begin{array}{cc} j+1 & 0 \\ 0 & -j \end{array} \right| F'\ , \quad (10.5)$$

so we get two disconnected equations:

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + 2EM - \frac{j(j+1)}{\mathrm{sh}^2\ r} - \frac{1+\mathrm{ch}\ r}{\mathrm{sh}^2 r}(j+1)\right) F_1' = 0\ , \quad (10.6)$$

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + 2EM - \frac{j(j+1)}{\mathrm{sh}^2 r} + \frac{1+\mathrm{ch}\ r}{\mathrm{sh}^2 r} j\right) F_2' = 0\ , \quad (10.7)$$

which are of the same type. Using the variable $y = (\mathrm{ch}\ r + 1)/2$, we have

$$\left(y(y-1)\frac{d^2}{dy^2} + \left(y - \frac{1}{2}\right)\frac{d}{dy} + 2ME - \frac{j(j+1)}{4y(y-1)} + \frac{\mu}{2(y-1)}\right) F = 0\ , \quad (10.8)$$

where $\mu = -j-1,\ +j$. The solution of this equation is written in terms of the hypergeometric function:

$$F = y^a(1-y)^b {}_2F_1(\lambda, \beta; \gamma; y)\ , \quad \gamma = 2a + \frac{1}{2}\ ,\ \lambda = a + b + i\sqrt{2ME}\ ,\ \beta = a + b - i\sqrt{2ME}\ , \quad (10.9)$$

where

$$a = \frac{j+1}{2},\ a' = -\frac{j}{2}\ ;$$
$$\mu = 0\ , \qquad b = \frac{j+1}{2},\ b' = -\frac{j}{2}\ ;$$
$$\mu = -j-1\ , \qquad b = \frac{j+2}{2},\ b' = -\frac{j+1}{2}\ ;$$
$$\mu = +j\ , \qquad b = \frac{j}{2},\ b' = -\frac{j+1}{2}\ . \quad (10.10)$$

Since $y = 1$ corresponds to the point $r = 0$, in order to have finite solutions at the origin, we should take $b > 0$. The asymptotic behavior of the solution at infinity then is

$$f(y) \sim \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)\Gamma(\beta-\alpha)}{\Gamma(\gamma-\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}\left(-\frac{e^r}{4}\right)^{-i\sqrt{2EM}} + \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)\Gamma(\alpha-\beta)}{\Gamma(\gamma-\beta)\Gamma(\alpha)}\left(-\frac{e^r}{4}\right)^{+i\sqrt{2EM}} . \tag{10.11}$$

Thus, we have derived real solutions, regular at $r = 0$ and having standing-wave behavior at infinity.

11 Spin 1 particle in the Coulomb attractive potential

In the presence of the external Coulomb field, instead of equations (10.3), (10.6) and (10.7) we have

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + 2M\left(E + \frac{\alpha}{\tanh r}\right) - \frac{j(j+1)}{\mathrm{sh}^2\, r}\right) F_1 = 0 , \tag{11.1}$$

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + 2M\left(E + \frac{\alpha}{\tanh r}\right) - \frac{j(j+1)}{\mathrm{sh}^2\, r} - \frac{1+\mathrm{ch}\, r}{\mathrm{sh}^2 r}(j+1)\right) F_1' = 0 , \tag{11.2}$$

$$\left(\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + 2M\left(E + \frac{\alpha}{\tanh r}\right) - \frac{j(j+1)}{\mathrm{sh}^2 r} + \frac{1+\mathrm{ch}\, r}{\mathrm{sh}^2 r} j\right) F_2' = 0 . \tag{11.3}$$

Passing to the new variable $F_1 = x^a(1-x)^b f(x)$ with $x = 1 - e^{-2r}$, Eq. (11.1) reads

$$x(1-x)f'' + [2a - (2a+2b+1)x]\, f' +$$
$$\left[\frac{a(a-1) - j(j+1)}{x} + \left(b^2 + \frac{M}{2}(E+\alpha)\right)\frac{1}{1-x} - a^2 - 2ab - b^2 - \frac{M}{2}(E-\alpha)\right] f = 0 . \tag{11.4}$$

Putting now $a = j+1, -j$ and $b = \pm\sqrt{-\frac{M}{2}(E+\alpha)}$, we obtain the hypergeometric equation with the solution $f(x) = {}_2F_1(\lambda, \beta; \gamma; x)$, for which in order to get bound states, we take

$$\lambda = a + b - \sqrt{-\frac{M}{2}(E-\alpha)} , \qquad \beta = a + b + \sqrt{-\frac{M}{2}(E-\alpha)} \tag{11.5}$$

with

$$a = j + 1 , \qquad b = +\sqrt{-\frac{M}{2}(E+\alpha)} . \tag{11.6}$$

The hypergeometric function turns into polynomial if $\lambda = -n$. This gives the energy levels as

$$E = -\frac{M\alpha^2}{2N^2} - \frac{N^2}{2M} , \qquad N = j + 1 + n . \tag{11.7}$$

In usual units this formula reads

$$\epsilon = -mc^2\frac{\alpha^2}{2N^2} - \frac{\hbar^2}{mR^2}\frac{N^2}{2} \qquad \left(\alpha = \frac{e^2}{\hbar c} = \frac{1}{137}\right) . \tag{11.8}$$

Now consider Eqs. (11.2) and (11.3). Using the variable $z = \mathrm{th}(r/2)$, they are written as

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{dz^2} - \frac{2z}{1-z^2}\frac{d}{dz} + 8M\left(E + \alpha\frac{1+z^2}{2z}\right)\frac{1}{(1-z^2)^2} - \frac{j(j+1)}{z^2} - \frac{2(j+1)}{z^2(1-z^2)}\right] F_1' = 0 , \tag{11.9}$$

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{dz^2} - \frac{2z}{1-z^2}\frac{d}{dz} + 8M\left(E + \alpha\frac{1+z^2}{2z}\right)\frac{1}{(1-z^2)^2} - \frac{j(j+1)}{z^2} + \frac{2j}{z^2(1-z^2)}\right] F_2' = 0\,. \quad (11.10)$$

These equations have four regular singular points located at $z = 0, \pm 1, \infty$, two of which are physical: $z = 0$ ($r = 0$), $z = +1$ ($r = \infty$). Applying the simplifying substitution

$$F_1'(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{z^2-1}} z^A (1-z)^B (-1-z)^C H(z) \quad (11.11)$$

with A, B, C taken as

$$A = -(j+1),\ j+2\,, \quad B = \frac{1}{2} \pm \sqrt{-2M(E+\alpha)}\,, \quad C = \frac{1}{2} \pm \sqrt{-2M(E-\alpha)}\,, \quad (11.12)$$

Eq. (11.9) is reduced to the general Heun equation

$$\frac{d^2\,H}{dz^2} + \left(\frac{\gamma}{z} + \frac{\delta}{z-1} + \frac{\epsilon}{z+1}\right)\frac{dH}{dz} + \frac{\lambda\beta z - q}{z(z-1)(z+1)} H = 0, \quad (\gamma + \delta + \epsilon = \lambda + \beta + 1) \quad (11.13)$$

with parameters

$$\gamma = 2A\,, \quad \delta = 2B\,, \quad \epsilon = 2C\,, \quad q = 4M\alpha - 2A(B-C)\,,$$
$$\lambda = -j - 1 + (A+B+C), \quad \beta = j + (A+B+C)\,. \quad (11.14)$$

We now use a quantization condition of the form $\beta = -n$. The appropriate choice for A, B, C then is

$$A = j+2\,, \qquad B = \frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{-2M(E+\alpha)}\,, \qquad C = \frac{1}{2} - \sqrt{-2M(E-\alpha)}\,. \quad (11.15)$$

This leads to the following formula for the energy levels:

$$E = -\frac{M\alpha^2}{2(j+3/2+n/2)^2} - \frac{(j+3/2+n/2)^2}{2M}\,. \quad (11.16)$$

In the similar manner, Eq. (11.10) gives the spectrum

$$E = -\frac{M\alpha^2}{2(j+1/2+n/2)^2} - \frac{(j+1/2+n/2)^2}{2M}\,. \quad (11.17)$$

12 Particle in the oscillator field

In the presence of the oscillator potential, the radial equations take the form

$P = (-1)^{j+1}$:

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + 2M\left(E - \frac{K\ \mathrm{th}^2 r}{2}\right) - \frac{j(j+1)}{\mathrm{sh}^2\ r}\right] F_1 = 0\,, \quad (12.1)$$

and $P = (-1)^j$:

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + 2M\left(E - \frac{K\ \mathrm{th}^2 r}{2}\right) - \frac{j(j+1)}{\mathrm{sh}^2\ r} - \frac{1+\mathrm{ch}\ r}{\mathrm{sh}^2 r}(j+1)\right] F_1' = 0\,, \quad (12.2)$$

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + 2M\left(E - \frac{K\ \mathrm{th}^2 r}{2}\right) - \frac{j(j+1)}{\mathrm{sh}^2 r} + \frac{1+\mathrm{ch}\ r}{\mathrm{sh}^2 r} j\right] F_2' = 0\,. \quad (12.3)$$

By means of the transformation of the independent variable $x = \mathrm{ch}\, r$ the coefficients of the equations become rational functions:

$P = (-1)^{j+1}$,

$$\left[(x^2-1)\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + x\frac{d}{dx} + 2M\left(E - \frac{K}{2}\frac{x^2-1}{x^2}\right) - \frac{j(j+1)}{x^2-1}\right] F_1 = 0\,; \tag{12.4}$$

and $P = (-1)^j$,

$$\left[(x^2-1)\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + x\frac{d}{dx} + 2M\left(E - \frac{K}{2}\frac{x^2-1}{x^2}\right) - \frac{j(j+1)}{x^2-1} - \frac{1+x}{x^2-1}(j+1)\right] F_1' = 0\,, \tag{12.5}$$

$$\left[(x^2-1)\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + x\frac{d}{dx} + 2M\left(E - \frac{K}{2}\frac{x^2-1}{x^2}\right) - \frac{j(j+1)}{x^2-1} + \frac{1+x}{x^2-1}j\right] F_2' = 0\,. \tag{12.6}$$

For Eq. (12.4), one can further apply the quadratic transformation of the variable $y = x^2$ to derive an equation of the hypergeometric type:

$$\left(4y(y-1)\frac{d^2}{dy^2} + (4y-2)\frac{d}{dy} + 2ME - MK\frac{y-1}{y} - \frac{j(j+1)}{y-1}\right) F_1 = 0\,. \tag{12.7}$$

By means of the substitution $F_1(y) = y^a(1-y)^b f(y)$ with

$$a = \frac{1}{4} \pm \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{1+4KM}, \qquad b = -\frac{j}{2},\ \frac{1+j}{2}\,, \tag{12.8}$$

this equation is reduced to the canonical form of the hypergeometric equation:

$$x(1-x)\frac{d^2}{dx^2}f + [\gamma + (\lambda+\beta+1)x]\frac{df}{dx} - \lambda\beta\ f = 0 \tag{12.9}$$

with parameters

$$\gamma = 2a+1, \quad \lambda = a+b-\sqrt{-\frac{2EM}{4} + \frac{KM}{4}}\,, \quad \beta = a+b+\sqrt{-\frac{2EM}{4} + \frac{KM}{4}}\,. \tag{12.10}$$

Accordingly, the solution of Eq. (12.4) is written as

$$F_1(y) = y^a(1-y)^b {}_2F_1(\lambda,\beta,\gamma,y) = (\mathrm{ch}\, r)^{2a}(-\mathrm{sh}\, r)^{2b} {}_2F_1(\lambda,\beta,\gamma,\mathrm{ch}^2 r)\,. \tag{12.11}$$

To construct solutions associated with bound states, we should take

$$2b = (1+j) > 0\,, \qquad 2a = \frac{1-\sqrt{1+4KM}}{2} < 0\,. \tag{12.12}$$

Then, the condition to have polynomial solutions, $\lambda = -n$, leads to the result

$$E = N\sqrt{\frac{K}{M} + \left(\frac{1}{2M}\right)^2} - \frac{1}{2M}\left(N^2 + \frac{1}{4}\right), \quad N = 2n + j + \frac{3}{2}. \tag{12.13}$$

We note that from the structure of the solution, Eq. (12.11), it is readily seen an inequality which ensures the vanishing of the solution at infinity:

$$a+b+n<0 \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \frac{1-\sqrt{1+4KM}}{4} + \frac{j+1}{2} + n < 0\,. \tag{12.14}$$

This gives the upper limit for the number of the possible bound states:

$$2n + j + \frac{3}{2} < \frac{\sqrt{1 + 4KM}}{2} \, . \tag{12.15}$$

In usual units, the spectrum is written as

$$\epsilon = \hbar \left(N \sqrt{\frac{k}{m} + \frac{\hbar^2}{4m^2R^4}} - \frac{\hbar}{2mR^2} \left(N^2 + \frac{1}{4} \right) \right), \qquad N = 2n + j + \frac{3}{2} \tag{12.16}$$

and the restriction imposed on the quantum numbers reads

$$2n + j + \frac{3}{2} < \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{4km}{\hbar^2} R^4} \, . \tag{12.17}$$

Now, let us turn to Eq. (12.5). Applying the substitution

$$F_1' = x^A (1 - x)^B (-1 - x)^C H(x) \tag{12.18}$$

with A, B, C chosen as

$$A = \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 + 4\,MK} \, , \quad B = -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{j}{2} \, , \;\; 1 + \frac{j}{2} \, , \quad C = -\frac{j}{2} \, , \;\; \frac{1}{2} + \frac{j}{2} \, , \tag{12.19}$$

we arrive at the general Heun equation

$$\frac{d^2\,H}{dz^2} + \left(\frac{\gamma}{z} + \frac{\delta}{z-1} + \frac{\epsilon}{z+1} \right) \frac{dH}{dz} + \frac{\lambda\beta z - q}{z(z-1)(z+1)} H = 0, \quad (\gamma + \delta + \epsilon = \lambda + \beta + 1) \tag{12.20}$$

with parameters

$$\gamma = 2A \, , \quad \delta = 2B + \frac{1}{2} \, , \quad \epsilon = 2C + \frac{1}{2} \, , \quad q = -2A(B - C) \, , \tag{12.21}$$

$$\lambda = A + B + C + \sqrt{-M(2E - K)} \, , \quad \beta = A + B + C - \sqrt{-M(2E - K)} \, . \tag{12.22}$$

As a formal quantization condition, we now apply one of the two necessary conditions for polynomial solutions: $\beta = -n$ (we stress that since we use only one of the two conditions, we actually do not construct polynomials). At

$$A = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{1 + 4\,MK} \, , \qquad B = 1 + \frac{j}{2} \, , \qquad C = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{j}{2} \tag{12.23}$$

this condition gives the energy spectrum

$$E = N \sqrt{\frac{K}{M} + \left(\frac{1}{2M} \right)^2} - \frac{1}{2M} \left(N^2 + \frac{1}{4} \right), \quad N = 2 + j + n. \tag{12.24}$$

In the similar manner, Eq. (12.6) leads to the same spectrum but with $N = 1 + j + n$.

13 Summary

Thus, we have discussed the behavior of a quantum-mechanical particle with spin 1 in the field of a magnetic charge using the relativistic Duffin-Kemmer-Petiau equation. We have separated the variables using the technique of the D-Wigner functions. In this description, there appear three quantum numbers standing for the energy, the square and the third projection of the generalized total angular momentum. The treatment results in a complicated system of 10 radial equations, the analytic solution of which is not known. The nonrelativistic approximation further reduces the problem to a system of three second-order differential equations for three radial functions.

For the Minkowski space, the equations of this system are disconnected by means of a linear transformation which diagonalizes the mixing matrix, and the problem is reduced to three ordinary differential equations of the same structure. Each of these equations contains as a parameter a root of a cubic equation appearing in bringing the mixing matrix to the diagonal form. The analysis is generalized to include spherically symmetric external fields. The cases of the Coulomb field and the external oscillator potential are studied. The exact solutions in terms of the hypergeometric functions are constructed, and for each case, three series of energy levels are derived.

For the spin 1 particle in the Lobachevsky geometry background, the three equations of the nonrelativistic approximation are not disconnected in the presence of a monopole. However, for the minimum total angular momentum, in some cases the nonrelativistic equations are solved, for instance, for the Coulomb or oscillator potentials. For the latter two cases, we have constructed the solutions, in terms of the hypergeometric functions, and have derived the corresponding energy spectra.

Finally, we have considered the spin 1 particle in the absence of the monopole field background. We have shown that for the Coulomb or oscillator potentials the problem is reduced to a system of three equations involving a hypergeometric and two general Heun equations. Though the current theory of the Heun equation (which presents a direct generalization of the hypergeometric equation) does not suggest simple algorithms for derivation of the corresponding spectra, we have determined the energy levels of the system by imposing on the parameters of the Heun equation a special requirement, which seems to be rather reasonable from the physical point of view.

All results obtained for the Lobachevsky model can be easily extended to the its geometrical counterpart, the spherical Riemann space. Due to compactness of the spherical space all energy spectra in this case will be discrete.

14 Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the Fund for Basic Researches of Belarus, F 13K-079, within the cooperation framework between Belarus and Ukraine, and by the Fund for Basic Researches of Belarus, F 14ARM-021, within the cooperation framework between Republic of Belarus and Republic of Armenia. This research has been supported by the Armenian State Committee of Science (SCS Grant No. 13RB-052) and has been partially conducted within the scope of the International Associated Laboratory IRMAS (CNRS-France & SCS-Armenia).

References

[1] Dirac P.A.M. Quantised singularities in the electromagnetic field. Proc. Roy. Soc. London. A. 1931. Vol. 133. P. 60–72.

[2] Dirac P.A.M. Theory of magnetic poles. Phys. Rev. 1948. Vol. 74. P. 817–830.

[3] Tamm I.E. Die verall gemeinerten Kugelfunktionen und die Wellenfunktionen eines Electrons im Felde eines Magnetpoles. Zeit. Phys. 1931. Bd. 71, no 3-4. S. 141–150.

[4] Tamm I.E. Notion of mesons in electromagnetic fields. DAN SSSR. 1940. Vol. 29, no 8-9. P. 551–554.

[5] Fierz M. On the theory of particles with magnetic charge. Helv. Phys. Acta. 1944. Vol. 17. P. 27–34.

[6] Banderet P.P. Zur Theorie singulärer Magnetpole. Helv. Phys. Acta. 1946. Vol. 19. P. 503–522.

[7] Harish-Chandra. Motion of electron in the field of a magnetic pole. Phys. Rev. 1948. Vol. 74, no 8. P. 883–887.

[8] Ramsey N.F. Time reversal, charge conjugation, magnetic pole conjugation and parity. Phys. Rev. 1958. Vol. 109. P. 225–226.

[9] Eliezer C.J., Roy S.K. The effect of a magnetic pole on the energy levels of a hydrogen-like atom. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 1962. Vol. 58. P. 401–404.

[10] Tomil'chik L.M. Space reflection symmetry and the pseudo-scalar magnetic charge. Phys. Lett. B. 1976. Vol. 61, no 1. P. 50–52.

[11] Goldhaber A.S. Role of spin in the monopole problem. Phys. Rev. B. – 1965.– Vol. 140. – P. 1407–1414; Connection of spin and statistics for charge-monopole composites. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1976. Vol. 36, no 19. P. 1122–1125; Dirac particle in a magnetic field: Symmetries and their breaking by monopole singularities. Phys. Rev. D. 1977. Vol. 16, no 6. P. 1815–1827.

[12] Goldberg J.N., Macfarlane A.J., Newman E.T., Rohrlich F., Sudarshan E.C.G. Spin-s spherical harmonics and ∂. J. Math. Phys. 1967. Vol. 8. P. 2155–2161.

[13] Schwinger J.S. Magnetic charge and quantum field theory. Phys. Rev. 1966. Vol. 144. P. 1087–1093; Magnetic charge and the charge quantization; Phys. Rev. D. 1975. Vol. 12, no 10. P. 3105–3111; Sources and magnetic charge. Phys. Rev. 1968. Vol. 173. P. 1536–1554.

[14] McIntosh H.V., Cisneros A. Motion of a charged particle in the field of a magnetic monopole. Bull. Amer. Phys. Soc. 1968. Vol. 13. P. 909; Degeneracy in the presence of a magnetic monopole. J. Math. Phys. 1970. Vol. 11. P. 896–916.

[15] Zwanziger D. Exactly soluble nonrelativistic model of particles with both electric and magnetic charges. Phys. Rev. 1968. Vol. 176. P. 1480–1495; Angular distributions and a selection rule in charge-pole reactions. Phys. Rev. D. 1972. Vol. 6, no 2. P. 458–470.

[16] Lipkin H.J., Weisberger W.I., Peshkin M. Magnetic charge quantization and angular momentum. Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 1969. Vol. 53, no 1. P. 203–214.

[17] Barut A.O. Superselection rule for magnetic charges and the search for magnetic monopole. Phys. Lett. B. 1972. Vol. 38, no 2. P. 97–99; The impossibility of splitting the superposition of states of opposite magnetic charges in external electromagnetic fields. An anti-selection rule. Phys. Lett. B. 1973. Vol. 46, no 1. P. 8182–8199.

[18] Strazhev V. I., Tomil'chik L. M. Electrodynamics with magnetic charge. Minsk: Nauka i Tekhnika, 1975 (in Russian).

[19] Wu T.T., Yang C.N. Concept of nonintegrable phase factors and global formulation of gauge fields. Phys. Rev. D. 1975. Vol. 12, no 2. P. 3845–3857; Dirac monopole without strings: monopole harmonics. Nucl. Phys. B. 1976. Vol. 107. P. 365–380; Some properties of monopole harmonics. Phys. Rev. D. 1977. Vol. 16, no 4. P. 1018–1021.

[20] Petry H.R. Electron scattering on magnetic monopole. Lect. Notes Math. 1980. Vol. 836. P. 406–419.

[21] Callias C.J. Spectra of fermions in monopole fields – exactly soluble models. Phys. Rev. D. 1977. Vol. 16, no 10. P. 3068–3077.

[22] Kazama Y. Electric and magnetic dipole moments of the bound system of a Dirac particle and a fixed magnetic monopole. Phys. Rev. D. 1977. Vol. 16, no 10. P. 3078–3085; Dynamics of electron-monopole system. Int. J. Theor. Phys. 1978. Vol. 17, no 4. P. 249–265.

[23] Kazama Y., Yang C.N. Existence of bound states for a charge spin 1/2 particle with an extra magnetic moment in the field of a fixed magnetic monopole. Phys. Rev. D. 1977. Vol. 15, no 8. P. 2300–2307.

[24] Friedman J., Mayer S. Angular momentum and Dirac charge quantization in curved space-time. Phys. Rev. D. 1978. Vol. 17, no 8. P. 1957–1964.

[25] Goddard P., Olive D. Magnetic monopoles in gauge field theories. Phys. Rep. 1978. Vol. 44. P. 1358–1437.

[26] Jackiw R., Manton N.S. Symmetries and conservation laws in gauge theories. Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 1980. Vol. 127. P. 257–273; Jackiw R. Dynamical symmetry of the magnetic monopole. Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 1980. Vol. 129, no 1. P. 183–200.

[27] Comtet A. Magnetic monopoles in curved space-times. Annales de l'institut Henri Poincaré. A. Physique théorique. 1980. Vol. 32, no 3. P. 283–293.

[28] Horvathy P.A. Rotational symmetry and Dirac's monopole. Int. J. Theor. Phys. 1981. Vol. 20, no 9. P. 697–707; The dynamical symmetries of monopole in geometric quantization. Lett. Math. Phys. 1983. Vol. 7, no 4. P. 353–361.

[29] Hou Bo-Yu. A discrete symmetry and the operators for the dynamical group of monopole Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 1981. Vol. 134, no 2. P. 373–375.

[30] Callan C.G., Jr. Dyon-fermion dynamics. Phys. Rev. D. 1982. Vol. 26, no 8. P. 2058–2068; Monopole catalysis of barion decay. Nucl. Phys. B. 1983. Vol. 212, no 3. P. 391–400.

[31] Ju-Fei Tang. Fermion bound states in a dyon field. Phys. Rev. D. 1982. Vol. 26, no 2. P. 5510–5514.

[32] Balachandran A.P., Roy S.M., Singh Vivendra. Helicity conservation and absence of bound state of fermion-monopole system. Phys. Rev. D. 1983. Vol. 28, no 10. P. 2669–2672.

[33] Yamagishi H. Fermion-monopole system reexamined. I, II. Phys. Rev. D. 1983. Vol. 27. P. 2383–2396; Vol. 28. P. 977–988.

[34] Tolkachev E.A., Tomil'chik L.M., Shnir Ya.M. Space reflection operation and the boson-fermion puzzle in a dyonium model. Phys. Lett. B. 1979. Vol. 81, no 2. P. 173–174; Modification of selection rules as a P-noninvariant effect. Yadernaya Fizika. 1983. Vol. 38, no 2. P. 541–543; P-parity violation in the dyogen spectrum. J. Phys. G. 1988. Vol. 14, no 1. P. 1–7; Dyogen atom in external field and P-parity nonconseravtion. Yadernaya Fizika. 1989. Vol. 50, no 2. P. 442–446; P violating magnetic monopole influence on the vehavior of the atom-like system in the external fields. Int. J. Mod. Phys. A. 1992. Vol. 7, no 6. P. 3747–3767.

[35] Osland P., Wu T.T. Monopole-fermion and dyon-fermion bound states. 1. General properties and numerical results. Nucl. Phys. B. 1984. Vol. 247. P. 421; Monopole-fermion and dyon-fermion bound states. 2. Weakly bound states for the lowest angular momentum. Nucl. Phys. B. 1984. Vol. 247. P. 450.

[36] Red'kov V.M. General covariant Dirac equation: spherical symmetry and Wigner D-functions, spinor monopole harmonics. Minsk (1988) 39 pages. Deposited in VINITI 9.03.88, 4577 - B88; On vector particle in the field of a monopole. Minsk (1988) 22 pages. Deposited in VINITI 16.11.88, 8127 - B88.

[37] Olsen H.A., Osland P., Wu T.T. On the existence of bound states for a massive spin-one particle and a magnetic monopole. Phys. Rev. D. 1990. Vol. 42, no 2. P. 665–689; Olsen H.A., Osland P. Bound states for a massive spin 1 particle and a magnetic monopole. Phys. Rev. D. 1990. Vol. 42. P. 690–700.

[38] Fuschich W.I., Nikitin A.G., Susloparov W.M. Relativictic particle of arbitrary spin in the Coulomb and magnetic-monopole field. Nuovo Cim. A. 1985. Vol. 87, no 4. P. 415–424.

[39] Bose S.K. Bound states of a charged particle and a dyon. J. Phys. A. 1985. Vol. 18, no 8. P. 1289–1294; Dyon-electron bound states. J. Phys. G. 1986. Vol. 12, no 11. P. 1135–1145.

[40] Dray T.J. The relationship between monopole harmonics and spin-weighted spherical harmonics. J. Math. Phys. 1985. Vol. 26, no 5. P. 1030–1033; A unified treatment of Wigner D-functions, spin-weighted spherical harmonics, and monopole harmonics. J. Math. Phys. 1986. Vol. 27. 3. P. 781–792.

[41] Savinkov A.G., Shapiro I.S. Zeeman effect in charge-monopole system. Pis'ma v JETF. 1988. Vol. 47, no 6. P. 292–294; Savinkov A.G. Scattering on the Dirac monopole in a total space of principal bundle. Pis'ma v JETF. 1988. Vol. 47, no 1. P. 13 – 16; Savinkov A.G., Ryzhov A.B. Symmetries of a charge-Dirac monopole system. Mod. Phys. Lett. A. 1989. Vol. 4. P. 1899–1904.

[42] Mladenov I.M. Scattering of charged particles off dyons. J. Phys. A. 1988. Vol. 21. L. 1–4.

[43] Gal'tsov D.V., Ershov A.A. Monopole-fermion systems in the formalism pf isotropic tetrad. Yadernaya Fizika. 1988. Vo. 47, no 2. P. 560–574.

[44] Frampton Paul H., Zhang Jian-zu, Qi Yong-chang. Analysis of bound states of charged fermion and Abelian dyon. Phys. Rev. D. 1989. Vol. 40, no 10. P. 3533–3536.

[45] Labelle S., Mayrand M., Vinet L. Symmetries and degeneracies of a charged oscillator in the field of a magnetic monopole. J. Math. Phys. 1991. Vol. 32. P. 1516–1521.

[46] Chandola H.C. et al. Bound state of pointlike dyons. Indian J. Pure Appl. Phys. 1992. Vol. 30. P. 193.

[47] Weinberg E.J. Monopole vector spherical harmonics Phys. Rev. D. 1994. Vol. 49. P. 1086–1092.

[48] Sitenko Yu.A. Self-adjointness of the Dirac Hamiltonian and fermion number fractionization in the background of a singular magnetic vortex. Phys. Lett. B. 1996. Vol. 387. P. 334–340.

[49] Karat E., Schulz M. Self-adjoint extensions of the Pauli equation in the presence of a magnetic monopole. Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 1997. Vol. 254, no 1. P. 11–24.

[50] Hunter G. et al. Fermion quasi-spherical harmonics. J. Phys. A. 1999. Vol. 32. P. 795–803.

[51] Red'kov V.M. Generally relativistical Tetrode-Weyl-Fock-Ivanenko formalism and behaviour of quantum-mechanical particles of spin 1/2 in the Abelian monopole field. 25 pages, arXiv:quant-ph/9812002; Generally relativistical Daffin–Kemmer formalism and behavior of quantum-mechanical particle of spin 1 in the Abelian monopole field. 17 pages; arXiv:quant-ph/9812007; On discrete symmetry for spin 1/2 and spin 1 particles in external monopole field and quantum-mechanical property of self-conjugacy. 17 pages, arXiv:quant-ph/9812066. Nonlinear Phenomena in Complex Systems. 2002, Vol. 5, no 1. P. 95 – 107.

[52] Nesterov A.I., De la Cruz F. Aceves. Magnetic monopoles with generalized quantization condition. Phys. Lett. A. 2002. Vol. 302. P. 253; Towards a new quantization of Dirac's monopole. Rev. Mex. Fis. 2003. Vol. 49(S2). P. 134–136; On representations of the rotation group and magnetic monopoles. Phys. Lett. A. 2004. Vol. 324. P. 9–13

[53] Mardoyan L., Nersessian A., Petrosyan M. The Stark effect in the charge-dyon system. Theor. Math. Phys. 2004. Vol. 140. P. 958–964; Mardoyan L., Nersessian A., Sarkisyan H., Yeghikyan V. Dipole transitions and Stark effect in the charge-dyon system. J. Phys. A. 2007. Vol. 40. P. 5973–5980; Mardoyan L., Nersessian A., Yeranyan A. Relationship between quantum mechanics with and without monopoles Phys. Lett. A. 2007. Vol. 366. P. 30–35.

[54] Bogush A.A., Kisel V.V., Tokarevskaya N.G., Red'kov V.M. Duffin-Kemmer-Petiau formalism reexamined: non-relativistic approximation for spin 0 and spin 1 particles in a Riemannian space-time. Annales de la Fondation Louis de Broglie. 2007. Vol. 32. no 2-3. P. 355 – 381;

[55] Red'kov V.M. Particle fields in Riemannian space and the Lorentz group. Belorusskaya nauka. Minsk, 2009 (in Russian).

[56] Red'kov V.M. Tetrad formalism, spherical symmetry and the basis of Schrödinger. Minsk: Belarusian Science, 2010 (in Russian).

[57] Red'kov V.M., Ovsiyuk E.M. Quantum mechanics in spaces of constant curvature. Nova Science Publishers. Inc., New York, 2012.

[58] Heun K. Zur Theorie der Riemann'schen Functionen Zweiter Ordnung mit Verzweigungspunkten. Math. Ann. **33**. 161-179 (1889).

[59] Ronveaux A. (ed.). Heun's differential equation. Oxford University Press, Oxford (1995).

[60] Slavyanov S.Yu., Lay W. Special functions. A unified theory based on singularities. Oxford, 2000.

[61] Varshalovich D.A., Moskalev A.N., Hersonskiy V.K. Quantum theory of angular moment. Nauka: Leningrad, 1975.

[62] Pauli W. Über die Kriterium für Ein-oder Zweiwertigkeit der Eigenfunktionen in der Wellenmechanik // Helv. Phys. Acta. – 1939. – Bd. 12. – S. 147–168.

[63] Abramowitz M., Stegun I.A. Handbook of mathematical functions with formulas, graphs, and mathematical tables. National Bureau of Standards Applied Mathematics Series - 55. Issued June 1964 Tenth Printing, December 1972, with corrections

[64] Bykov A.A., Dremin I.M., Leonidov A.V. Potential models of quarkonium. Soviet Physics Uspekhi. 1984. Vol. 27, no. 5. P. 321–338.

[65] N. Brambilla et al., Heavy quarkonium: progress, puzzles, and opportunities. Eur. Phys. J. C 2011. Vol. 71, P.1534

[66] E.Ovsiyuk, O. Veko, M. Amirfakchrian. On Schrödinger equation with potential $U = -\alpha r^{-1} + \beta r + kr^2$ and the bi-confluent Heun functions theory. NPCS. 2012. Vol 15, no 2. P. 163–170.